

Submitted to the Astrophys. J. Letters

The D/H ratio at $z = 3.57$ toward Q 1937-1009

Sergei A. Levshakov¹

National Astronomical Observatory, Mitaka, Tokyo 181, Japan

Wilhelm H. Kegel

Institut für Theoretische Physik der Universität Frankfurt am Main, Postfach 11 19 32, 60054
Frankfurt/Main 11, Germany

and

Fumio Takahara

Department of Earth and Space Science, Faculty of Science, Osaka University, Toyonaka, Osaka
560, Japan

ABSTRACT

Deuterium abundance re-measurements by Burles & Tytler (1998; hereafter BT) yielded $D/H = (3.3 \pm 0.3) \times 10^{-5}$ and the robust upper limit $D/H < 3.9 \times 10^{-5}$ from the $z_a = 3.572$ system toward Q 1937-1009. In this new analysis BT adopted multicomponent *microturbulent* models together with the possibility to vary freely the local continuum level around each H I line to improve the fit. The procedure failed, however, to fit adequately D Ly- β without recourse to an additional H Ly- α contamination at the position of D Ly- β .

We show that this obstacle may be successfully overcome within the framework of the *mesoturbulent* model accounting (in contrast to the microturbulent approximation) for a correlated structure of the large scale velocity field. Using the *same* observational data and the original continuum as determined by Tytler et al. (1996), we obtained good fits. The one-component mesoturbulent models provide D/H in the range $\simeq (3.2 - 4.8) \times 10^{-5}$ and the total hydrogen column density $N(\text{H I}) \simeq (5.6 - 7.0) \times 10^{17} \text{ cm}^{-2}$. This result is consistent with that found by us from the $z_a = 2.504$ and $z_a = 0.701$ systems toward Q 1009+2956 and Q 1718+4807, respectively. The range for D/H common to all three analyses is $D/H \simeq (4.1 - 4.6) \times 10^{-5}$. This value is consistent with standard big bang nucleosynthesis [SBBN] if the baryon-to-photon ratio, η , is in the range $4.2 \times 10^{-10} \lesssim \eta \lesssim 4.6 \times 10^{-10}$, implying $0.0155 \lesssim \Omega_b h_{100}^2 \lesssim 0.0167$.

Subject headings: cosmology: observations — methods: data analysis — quasars: absorption lines — quasars: individual (1937-1009)

¹On leave from Ioffe Physico-Technical Institute, Russian Academy of Sciences

1. Introduction

The most distant absorption-line system with observable D I Ly- α and Ly- β lines is the Lyman limit system discovered by Tytler et al. (1996, hereafter TFB) at $z_a = 3.572$ toward the quasar Q 1937-1009 ($z_e = 3.78$). In TFB, high resolution (FWHM = 9 km s $^{-1}$) and high signal-to-noise (S/N $\simeq 75$ per pixel at the position of the Ly- α line) Keck spectra of Q 1937-1009 revealed hydrogen absorption throughout the entire Lyman series as well as a few metal absorption lines with asymmetric profiles. Their first measurements of D/H based on a two-component microturbulent model (Voigt profile deconvolution analysis) gave a low value of D/H = $(2.3 \pm 0.3 \pm 0.3) \times 10^{-5}$ (1σ statistical and systematic errors). This result caused a lively discussion since the low D/H value would imply a high universal density of baryons $\Omega_b h^2 = 0.024^{+0.006}_{-0.005}$ (TFB), where Ω_b is the fraction of the critical density in form of baryons and h is the Hubble parameter scaled to 100 km s $^{-1}$ Mpc $^{-1}$. Note that the total SBBN baryon density compatible with the abundances of D and ^3He observed in the solar system and the interstellar medium, has been estimated to be $\Omega_b h^2 = 0.0175^{+0.010}_{-0.005}$ (Hata et al. 1996), which is slightly larger than earlier BBN estimates $\Omega_b h^2 = 0.0125 \pm 0.0025$ (Walker et al. 1991).

The lack of uniqueness in the Voigt deconvolution procedure was employed by Wampler (1996) to show that other microturbulent models could give D/H ratios that are about 3 times higher than the TFB’s value and still are compatible with their fit. Wampler also suggested that the total hydrogen column density may not be well determined by TFB because of either incorrect sky subtraction or improper modeling the Ly- α forest structure above and below the Lyman continuum break. The latter is problematical for distant QSOs exhibiting a high density Ly- α forest, and different methods of analysis have yielded by now different values for N(H I) : $(3.8 - 4.9) \times 10^{17}$ cm $^{-2}$ by Songaila et al. (1997); $(6.9 - 7.6) \times 10^{17}$ cm $^{-2}$ by Burles & Tytler (1997). A value of N(H I) $\sim 6 \times 10^{17}$ cm $^{-2}$ which “produces a smooth forest opacity above and below the break” was recently presented by Songaila (1998).

Using new constraints on N(H I) $_{\text{tot}}$, BT re-considered the D/H measurements from the $z_a = 3.572$ system. In this new approach, the metal absorption lines are not used to constrain D/H. The absorbers are separated into two groups – with low and high H I column densities [$N(\text{H I}) \leq 2.5 \times 10^{15}$ cm $^{-2}$ and $N(\text{H I}) \gtrsim 10^{17}$ cm $^{-2}$, respectively]. The first group does not show D I and was utilized to fit the Ly- α forest features in the vicinity of the Lyman series lines from the $z_a = 3.572$ system. The second (main) group of lines were described by five free physical parameters : N(H I), N(D I), z , b_{tur} , and T . The best fitting model with 3 main components (Model 4) allows for additional free parameters characterizing the local continuum to improve the fit. BT conclude, however, that “the model fit to Ly β is not as good as Ly α , there is under-absorption in two places near D-Ly β ”.

The present Letter is primarily aimed at the inverse problem in the analysis of the H+D Ly- α and Ly- β absorption observed by TFB. It is shown that the mesoturbulent model based on only 5 physical parameters and an appropriate velocity field configuration is a sufficient description of

the D Ly- α and Ly- β lines with no free parameters in the continuum.

2. Model assumptions and results

It is generally believed that the Lyman limit systems arise in the outer regions of intervening galactic halos. We assume that the background ionizing radiation at $z = 3.5$ is hard enough to keep the absorbing gas photoionized with $T_{\text{kin}} \gtrsim 10^4$ K. A galaxy halo is considered to be a continuous medium exhibiting a mixture of bulk motions such as infall and outflows, rotation, tidal flows etc. The motion along the line of sight is then characterized by a fluctuating velocity field which we consider as random. For the sake of simplicity, we assume a homogeneous (H I-) density and temperature T_{kin} . The model closely follows the papers by Levshakov & Kegel (1997; Paper I), Levshakov, Kegel & Mazets (1997; Paper II) and Levshakov, Kegel & Takahara (1997; Paper III); the reader is referred to these papers for more details. Here we only note that although the distribution function for the fluctuating velocity field is assumed to be Gaussian *on average*, the resulting velocity distribution along a *given* line of sight may deviate significantly from this.

To estimate physical parameters and an appropriate velocity field structure along the sightline, we used a Reverse Monte Carlo [RMC] technique described in Paper III. The algorithm requires to define a simulation box for the 5 physical parameters : N(H I), D/H, T_{kin} , σ_t/v_{th} , and L/l [here σ_t denotes the *rms* velocity, v_{th} the thermal width of the hydrogen lines, l the correlation length, and L the typical thickness of the absorbing region]. – The continuous random function $v(s)$ is represented by its sampled values at equal space intervals Δs , i.e. by the vector $\{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_k\}$ of the velocity components parallel to the line of sight at the spatial points s_j (see Paper II).

In the present study we adopt for the physical parameters the following boundaries : N(H I) ranges from 3.8×10^{17} to 7.5×10^{17} cm $^{-2}$; D/H – from 2.5×10^{-5} to 5.0×10^{-5} ; T_{kin} – from 10^4 to 2×10^4 K. For σ_t/v_{th} the boundaries were set from 1.0 to 4.0 to cover the σ_t -range estimated from the kinematic structure of the galactic halos observed at $z > 2$ [$\sigma_t \simeq 40 \pm 15$ km s $^{-1}$, van Ojik et al. (1997)]. Since for $L/l \gg 1$ the meso- and microturbulent profiles tend to be identical (Paper I), we consider here only moderate L/l ratios in the range 1.0 – 5.0. Similar to BT, we assume that the D and H lines are not required to have the identical velocities as the metal lines from the same system, and that only the higher order Lyman series lines can trace the neutral hydrogen distribution. But we fix $z_a = 3.5723145$ (the mean z between the blue and the red components of the metal lines observed by TFB) as a more or less arbitrary reference radial velocity at which $v_j = 0$.

Having specified the parameter space, we construct the objective function (similar to that in Paper III) to calculate χ_{min}^2 . The objective function includes the following portions of the Lyman series lines which after preliminary analysis were chosen as most appropriate to the simultaneous RMC fitting : for H+D Ly- α and Ly- β , Δv ranges from -133 to -39 km s $^{-1}$ (see Fig. 1a,b); for Ly-14, 18 km s $^{-1} \leq \Delta v \leq 42$ km s $^{-1}$ (Fig. 1i); for Ly-15, $\lambda\lambda = 4184.50 - 4185.00$ Å (Fig. 1j).

In the Q 1937-1009 spectrum, there are many additional absorbers blending the Lyman series lines. We do not fit these additional absorptions since they do not affect significantly the D/H measurement in this system as shown by BT. The strongest absorption feature seen at $z = 3.57295$ ($\Delta v = 41.7 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ in Fig. 1a-i) has, according to BT, $N(\text{H I}) = 2.5 \times 10^{15} \text{ cm}^{-2}$, which is less than 1% of the total $N(\text{H I})$ at $z = 3.57$.

The estimated parameters for a few adequate RMC profile fits are listed in Table 1. We tried to find a satisfactory result with the reduced χ^2 per degree of freedom of $\chi_{\min}^2 \lesssim \chi_{\nu,0.05}^2$ (where $\chi_{\nu,0.05}^2$ is the expected χ^2 value for ν degrees of freedom at the credible probability of 95%). In Table 1, the $\chi_{\min}^2$ values are shown for the blue wings of the H+D Ly- α and Ly- β lines ($\nu = 40$, $-133 \text{ km s}^{-1} \leq \Delta v \leq -39 \text{ km s}^{-1}$, and $\chi_{40,0.05}^2 = 1.40$), which are the only lines with the observable D-absorption. Moreover, these pairs are more sensitive to the fitting procedure due to the highest S/N data obtained. Slightly lower χ^2 values were found in the combination with the Ly-14 and Ly-15 lines which, however, have smaller weights since their data are noisy. To illustrate our results, we show in Fig. 1 the best RMC solution with the lowest $\chi_{\min}^2$ value (model **d**).

To check the RMC solutions, we calculated hydrogen profiles up to Ly-23 for each model and then superposed them to the corresponding parts of the Q 1937-1009 spectrum. For all models from Table 1 the results are similar to that shown in Fig. 1. We do not find any pronounced discordance of calculated and real spectra.

The derived $v(s)$ -configurations are not unique. Table 1 demonstrates the spread of the rms turbulent velocities from $\simeq 18 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ to $\simeq 22 \text{ km s}^{-1}$. The projected velocity distribution functions $p(v)$ differ considerably from a Gaussian. Fig. 2 shows as an example for such a distorted distribution (solid line histogram) the case of model **d**. By dotted lines we show three $p(v)$ for BT's best fit model with three components (Model 4). Both the RMC $p(v)$ and the combined BT $p(v)$ distributions are asymmetric. However, the RMC solution shows a stronger blue gradient as compared with BT. This is the main reason why the absorption in the blue wing of the D I Ly- β line is enhanced without any additional H I interloper(s).

3. Conclusion

We have shown that the interpretation of the Q 1937-1009 spectrum obtained by TFB is not unique. The data can be modeled with a higher D/H ratio if one accounts for spatial correlations in the large scale velocity field.

The most accurate and robust RMC solution (model **d**) was obtained with a total hydrogen column density outside the range found by Burles & Tytler (1997) but in good agreement with the Songaila (1998) estimate. Of course, our analysis gives a certain *range* for $N(\text{H I})_{\text{tot}}$ and D/H (see Table 1). However, this range narrows if we require the D/H value to be compatible with the results of our previous analyses of the D I absorption toward Q 1009+2956 ($z_a = 2.504$) (Paper III) and toward Q 1718+4807 ($z_a = 0.701$) (Levshakov et al., 1998). The range common

to all three analyses is $D/H \simeq (4.1 - 4.6) \times 10^{-5}$. This implies for Q 1937-1009 $N(\text{H I}) \sim (5.8 - 6.5) \times 10^{17} \text{ cm}^{-2}$, showing that model **d** is well within this range. From SBBN it follows that $D/H \simeq (4.1 - 4.6) \times 10^{-5}$ implies for the baryon-to-photon ratio, η , a value in the interval $\simeq (4.2 - 4.6) \times 10^{-10}$. With the present-day photon density determined from the cosmic microwave background (e.g. Fixen et al. 1996), one then estimates the present-day baryon density to be in the range $\Omega_b h^2 \simeq 0.0155 - 0.0167$.

The final conclusion is that the current observations support SBBN and that there is no conflict with the D/H measurements within the generalized mesoturbulent approach.

The authors are grateful to David Tytler for making available the calibrated Keck/HIRES echelle spectra of Q 1937-1009 before their first publication and acknowledge helpful correspondence and comments by him, Xiao-Ming Fan and Scott Burles. This work was supported in part by the RFBR grant No. 96-02-16905a.

REFERENCES

- Burles, S., and Tytler, D. 1997, AJ, 114, 1330
- Burles, S., and Tytler, D. 1998, ApJ, in press, astro-ph/9712108
- Fixsen, D. J. et al. 1996, ApJ, 473, 576
- Hata, N. et al. 1996, ApJ, 458, 637
- Levshakov, S. A., and Kegel, W. H. 1997, MNRAS, 288, 787
- Levshakov, S. A., Kegel, W. H., and Mazets, I. E. 1997, MNRAS, 288, 802
- Levshakov, S. A., Kegel, W. H., and Takahara, F. 1997, MNRAS, submit., astro-ph/9710122
- Levshakov, S. A., Kegel, W. H., and Takahara, F. 1998, A&A, submit., astro-ph/9801108
- van Ojik, R. et al. 1997, A&A, 317, 358
- Songaila, A., Wampler, E. J., and Cowie, L. L. 1997, Nature, 385, 137
- Songaila, A. 1998, in *Structure and Evolution of the IGM from QSO Absorption Line Systems*, eds. P. Petitjean and S. Charlot (Nouvelles Frontieres, Paris), in press, astro-ph/9709293
- Tytler, D., Fan, X.-M., and Burles, S. 1996, Nature, 381, 207
- Walker, T. P. et al. 1991, ApJ, 376, 51
- Wampler, E. J. 1996, Nature, 383, 308

Fig. 1.— Observations (normalized flux) and RMC fits for Q 1937-1009. **a-i** Velocity plots of the Keck/HIRES echelle data obtained by TFB (dots and 1σ error bars) and calculated RMC profiles convolved with the instrumental resolution of $\text{FWHM} = 9 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ (solid curves) corresponding to model **d** in Table 1. Zero velocity corresponds to the redshift $z = 3.5723145$ which is the mean value between the blue and the red components of the metal lines from Figure 1 in TFB. In panel **a**, error bars are too small to be distinguished. The under-absorption on the blueward side of D Ly- β is within the statistically expected scatter. **j** Lyman-limit portion of the Q 1937-1009 spectrum (dots and 1σ error bars) and the RMC solution (solid curve) corresponding to model **d**.

Fig. 2.— Probability density function $p(v)$ of the best RMC solution shown in Fig. 1 (solid line histogram) and for comparison three $p(v)$ distributions with the velocity dispersions $b(\text{H}) = 16.8$, 18.9 , and 12.1 km s^{-1} (dotted curves) adopted from the best fit microturbulent Model 4 of BT. The curves are weighted by the corresponding hydrogen column densities of 4.08×10^{17} , 2.15×10^{17} and $1.38 \times 10^{17} \text{ cm}^{-2}$. The sum of all three weighted functions is shown by the solid curve.

Table 1. Cloud parameters derived from the Lyman series lines by the RMC method.

Model	N_{17}^a	D/H ^a	$T_{4,\text{kin}}^a$	σ_t^a	L/l	$\chi^2(\alpha, \beta)$
(a)	5.60	4.78	1.16	20.1	3.6	1.24
(b)	5.94	4.41	1.43	22.3	3.5	1.29
(c)	6.04	4.10	1.09	20.8	2.8	1.40
(d)	6.15	4.23	1.15	20.0	3.6	1.18
(e)	6.85	3.74	1.38	18.5	2.0	1.39
(f)	7.00	3.20	1.54	18.3	2.1	1.45

^a N_{17} is the total hydrogen column density in units of 10^{17} cm^{-2} , D/H in units of 10^{-5} , $T_{4,\text{kin}}$ kinetic temperature in units of 10^4 K , σ_t turbulent velocity in km s^{-1}



